arXiv:1611.09887v1 [math.KT] 29 Nov 2016

A Bott periodicity proof for real graded C^*-algebras

Sarah L. Browne

December 1, 2016

Abstract

We give a proof of Bott periodicity for real graded C^*-algebras in terms of K-theory and E-theory. Guentner and Higson [9] proved a similar result in the complex graded case but we extend this to cover all graded C^*-algebras. We obtain the 8-fold periodicity in E-theory by constructing two maps that are inverse to each other.

Introduction

K-theory has been studied since its description by Atiyah [1] and Hirzebruch. Its generalisation from topological spaces to C^*-algebras has made it an essential part of understanding C^*-algebras. It became a key tool in the study of C^*-algebras due to the work by George Elliott on classification of AF-algebras [7] and has been used ever since. Additionally there are uses in physics, geometry and algebraic topology as detailed by Connes in [3].

E-theory was formed by Higson [11] as a categorical object since KK-theory did not have the excision property given to E-theory. It was made into a concrete definition in terms of asymptotic morphisms by Connes and Higson [4] and further work has been done by Guentner, Higson and Trout [10], Dardarlut-Meyer [5] among others.

In this paper we extend the proof in [9] of Bott periodicity of E-theory to real graded C^*-algebras. Bott periodicity is a key feature of the K-theory and E-theory construction and has many formulations and proofs. We give details of ours, in which we use Clifford algebras and operators.

We construct the *Bott map*, $\beta\colon \mathcal{S} \to C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))$ in the real case using functional calculus as in [9] and we prove our main result:

Theorem A. *For a finite dimensional Euclidean vector space V over $\mathbb{R}$, the Bott map induces the isomorphism of K-theory*

$$\beta_*\colon K(\mathbb{R}) \to K(\mathbb{R}\widehat{\otimes}C_0(V, \mathit{Cliff}(V))).$$

In order to prove this, we construct an inverse map α_t to β,

$$\alpha_t\colon \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V)) \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}(V))$$

and we will show that the induced composition $\alpha_*\beta_*$ is equivalent to a simpler map $\gamma_t\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}(V))$. The map γ_t will give a K-theory equivalence. Additionally we use the Atiyah rotation trick [2] to prove $\beta_*\alpha_*$ yields the identity in K-theory. We will obtain the following Corollary and Theorem by showing that when $V = \mathbb{R}$ then $C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V)) = \Sigma\mathbb{R}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{R}_{1,0}$:

Corollary. *We have an isomorphism*

$$K(\mathbb{R}) \cong K(\Sigma\mathbb{R}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{R}_{1,0}).$$

Theorem B. *For a real graded C^*-algebra A, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$K(A) \cong K(\Sigma A\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{R}_{1,0}).$$

Finally we will transfer this into E-theory by using the relation between K- and E-theory, namely

$$K(A) := E(\mathbb{R}, A),$$

and obtain

Theorem C. *For real graded C^*-algebras A and B,*

$$E(A, B) \cong E(A, \Sigma B\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{R}_{1,0}).$$

This result will be obtained by the tensor product bifunctor property of E-theory and the facts that both β and α_t give classes in E-theory which are invertible. Then we use properties of Clifford algebras to formulate the 8-fold periodicity in E-theory.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank her PhD supervisor, Paul Mitchener, for the support and conversations that allowed this paper to be written. Additionally, the author thanks the EPSRC for her funding for her PhD. Then the author also thanks the following for conversations, email correspondence etc: Erik Guentner, Nigel Higson, Jamie Gabe, Ulrich Pennig and many others.

1 K- and E-theory

In this section we recall the definitions of K- and E-theory alongside the fundamental definitions of aspects of real graded C^*-algebras. We begin by recalling the definitions of grading on a C^*-algebra. Let A be a C^*-algebra. Then a *grading* on A is an automorphism $\delta\colon A \to A$ such that $\delta^2 = 1$. Alternatively we can consider $A = A_{\text{even}} \oplus A_{\text{odd}}$ where

$$A_{\text{even}} = \{a \in A \mid \delta a = a\} \text{ and } A_{\text{odd}} = \{a \in A \mid \delta a = -a\}.$$

Also recall that if $a \in A$ then the *degree* of a, $\deg(a)$ is 0 is a is even and 1 if a is odd. Now if A and B are graded C^*-algebras then we define a *graded $*$-homomorphism*, $f\colon A \to B$, as a $*$-homomorphism which preserves the grading, that is to say, $f[A_{\text{even}}] \subseteq B_{\text{even}}$ and $f[A_{\text{odd}}] \subseteq B_{\text{odd}}$.

Remark 1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space equipped with an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1.$$

Then the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ is graded. We say $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is *even* if $T[\mathcal{H}_0] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$ and $T[\mathcal{H}_1] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1$, and *odd* if $T[\mathcal{H}_0] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1$ and $T[\mathcal{H}_1] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$.

One can also define the graded tensor product $A\widehat{\otimes}B$:-

Definition 2. Let A and B be graded C^*-algebras with gradings δ_A and δ_B respectively. Then define $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ to be the completion of the algebraic tensor product of A and B in the norm

$$||\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i|| = \sup ||\sum_i \varphi(a_i)\psi(b_i)||$$

where $\varphi\colon A \to C$, $\psi\colon A \to C$ are graded $*$-homomorphisms to a common graded C^*-algebra C. We equip $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ with involution, multiplication and grading defined by:

1. $(a\widehat{\otimes}b)^* = (-1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)}a^* \otimes\ b^*$
2. $(a\widehat{\otimes}b)(c\widehat{\otimes}d) = (-1)^{\deg(b)\deg(c)}(ac \otimes bd)$
3. $\gamma(a\widehat{\otimes}b) = \alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b)$

Extending by linearity gives $A\widehat{\otimes}B$.

Due to Palmer [15], we have the following definition:

Definition 3. A *real C^*-algebra* A is a real Banach algebra with involution satisfying the C^*-identity and additionally the property that $1 + x^*x$ is invertible for all $x \in A$.

Consider the algebra of continuous real valued functions that vanish at infinity, $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, then we can equip this with the grading that even functions are even and odd functions are odd. Denote this algebra by $\mathcal{S}$.

Now we are almost ready to state the definition of the real K-theory groups. Let $C([0,1], B)$ denote the set of continuous functions from $[0,1]$ to B. Then recall that a *homotopy* between two graded $*$-homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi\colon A \to B$ is a graded $*$-homomorphism $\theta\colon A \to C([0,1], B)$ such that

$$\theta(a)(0) = \varphi(a) \text{ and } \theta(a)(1) = \psi(a).$$

Denote the set of homotopy classes of graded $*$-homomorphisms from A to B by $[A, B]$.

Definition 4. For a real graded C^*-algebra A, the K-theory groups are given by

$$K_n(A) = [\mathcal{S}, \Sigma^n A\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})],$$

for $n \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the compact operators on some real graded Hilbert space with grading as in Remark 1. Here $\Sigma A = C_0(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}A$ where $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ has the trivial grading, and $\Sigma^n A$ is defined iteratively.

The above definition is taken from [9].

Now to formulate the E-theory groups we need the notion of a graded asymptotic morphisms and homotopy of these.

Definition 5. Let A and B be real graded C^*-algebras with gradings δ_A and δ_B respectively. A *graded asymptotic morphism* $\varphi\colon A \dashrightarrow B$ is a family of functions $\{\varphi_t\}_{t\in[1,\infty)}\colon A \dashrightarrow B$ such that:

1. the map $t \mapsto \varphi_t(a)$, from $[1, \infty)$ to B is continuous and bounded for each $a \in A$,
2. $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||\varphi_t(ab) - \varphi_t(a)\varphi_t(b)|| = 0$, for each $a, b \in A$,
3. $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||\varphi_t(a + \lambda b) - \varphi_t(a) - \lambda\varphi_t(b)|| = 0$, for each $a, b \in A$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,
4. $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||\varphi_t(a^*) - \varphi_t(a)^*|| = 0$, for each $a \in A$,
5. $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||\varphi_t(\delta_A(a)) - \delta_B(\varphi_t(a))|| = 0$ for each $a \in A$.

Note that we can define $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ in terms of graded asymptotic morphisms by replacing the graded $*$-homomorphisms φ, ψ in Definition 2 by graded asymptotic morphisms.

Before we state what a homotopy of graded asymptotic morphisms is, we first consider the composition of a graded asymptotic morphisms and a $*$-homomorphism. It will become reasonable to just consider the following two morphisms:

$$\alpha_t \colon \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}A \to B \text{ and } \beta \colon \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}B \dashrightarrow C.$$

For this we need to construct a $*$-homomorphism

$$\Delta \colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S},$$

as in [9]. First notice that the functions

$$u(x) = e^{-x^2} \text{ and } v(x) = xe^{-x^2}$$

are functions contained in $\mathcal{S}$ and u is even and v is odd.

Now set A to be the algebra generated by u and v. Then by Theorem B in [17] we have the following result:

Lemma 6. *The algebra A is dense in $\mathcal{S}$.*

Hence u and v generate $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_R$ be the set of continuous functions on the interval $[-R, R]$; we have a surjection $\pi \colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}_R$ defined by restriction. Let $X_R \in \mathcal{S}_R$ be the function $x \mapsto x$, then note that X_R is odd. Now if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, by functional calculus (see Appendix) we have an element

$$f(X_R\widehat{\otimes}1 + 1\widehat{\otimes}X_R) \in \mathcal{S}_R\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S}_R,$$

where 1 denotes the function 1. Then we have a graded $*$-homomorphism as follows:

Lemma 7. *There is a unique graded $*$-homomorphism $\Delta \colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S}$ such that*

$$(\pi\widehat{\otimes}\pi)\Delta(f) = f(X_R\widehat{\otimes}1 + 1\widehat{\otimes}X_R),$$

for every $R > 0$.

Proof. The map is clearly a graded $*$-homomorphism by the properties of functions in $\mathcal{S}$. Uniqueness follows from the fact that $\bigcup_{R>0} \mathcal{S}_R$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}$ by the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem. □

Write $\Delta_\pi = (\pi\widehat{\otimes}\pi)\Delta(f)$.

Proposition 8. *For the elements $u = e^{-x^2}$ and $v(x) = xe^{-x^2}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ we have*

$$\Delta_\pi(u) = u\widehat{\otimes}u \quad \textit{and} \quad \Delta_\pi(v) = u\widehat{\otimes}v + v\widehat{\otimes}u.$$

Proof. Using the above lemma we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\pi(u) &= u(X_R\widehat{\otimes}1 + 1\widehat{\otimes}X_R) \\
&= e^{-(X_R\widehat{\otimes}1+1\widehat{\otimes}X_R)^2} \\
&= e^{-(X_R^2\widehat{\otimes}1+1\widehat{\otimes}X_R^2)} \text{ since } X_R \text{ is odd} \\
&= e^{-(X_R^2\widehat{\otimes}1)}e^{-(1\widehat{\otimes}X_R^2)} \\
&= e^{-X_R^2}\widehat{\otimes}e^{-X_R^2} \\
&= u\widehat{\otimes}u.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\pi(v) &= v(X_R\widehat{\otimes}1 + 1\widehat{\otimes}X_R) \\
&= (X_R\widehat{\otimes}1 + 1\widehat{\otimes}X_R)e^{-(X_R\widehat{\otimes}1+1\widehat{\otimes}X_R)^2} \\
&= (X_R\widehat{\otimes}1)e^{-(X_R\widehat{\otimes}1+1\widehat{\otimes}X_R)^2} + (1\widehat{\otimes}X_R)e^{-(X_R\widehat{\otimes}1+1\widehat{\otimes}X_R)^2} \\
&= (X_R\widehat{\otimes}1)(e^{-X_R^2}\widehat{\otimes}e^{-X_R^2}) + (1\widehat{\otimes}X_R)(e^{-X_R^2}\widehat{\otimes}e^{-X_R^2}) \\
&= X_Re^{-X_R^2}\widehat{\otimes}e^{-X_R^2} + e^{-X_R^2}\widehat{\otimes}X_Re^{-X_R^2} \\
&= u\widehat{\otimes}v + v\widehat{\otimes}u,
\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Lemma 9. *Let $\Delta'\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S}$ be a graded $*$-homomorphism such that*

$$\Delta'_\pi(u) = u\widehat{\otimes}u \quad \textit{and} \quad \Delta'_\pi(v) = u\widehat{\otimes}v + v\widehat{\otimes}u.$$

Then $\Delta' = \Delta$.

Proof. Let A be the algebra generated by u and v. Then by Lemma 6, $\mathcal{S}$ is generated by A. Hence Δ' is uniquely determined by $\Delta'_\pi(u)$ and $\Delta'_\pi(v)$. Then since $\Delta'_\pi(u) = \Delta_\pi(u)$ and $\Delta'_\pi(v) = \Delta_\pi(v)$, it follows that $\Delta' = \Delta$ as required. □

Then we can define the composition of $*$-homomorphism β and an asymptotic morphism α_t by:-

$$\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}A \xrightarrow{\Delta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A} \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}A \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\alpha_t} \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}B \xrightarrow{\beta} C.$$

We define the composition of he form $\beta \circ \alpha_t$ similarly.

Definition 10. A *homotopy* of graded asymptotic morphisms $\varphi_t, \psi_t \colon A \dashrightarrow B$ is a graded asymptotic homomorphism $\theta_t \colon A \dashrightarrow C([0,1], B)$ such that

$$\theta_t(a)(0) = \varphi_t(a) \text{ and } \theta_t(a)(1) = \psi_t(a).$$

Denote the set of homotopy classes of graded asymptotic morphisms from A to B by $[\![A, B]\!]$. Then the definition, from [9], of the E-theory groups is given by:

$$E^n(A, B) = [\![\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}A\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \Sigma^n B\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})]\!],$$

where $\Sigma^n B$ for $n \geq 0$ is the n-fold suspension of a C^*-algebra and its grading comes from B. For information on why these form groups see Lemma 2.1 in [9] for a proof of additive inverses. There is also a full description of this in the author's PhD thesis.

Additionally we note that there is an E-theory product

$$E(A, B) \times E(B, C) \to E(A, B),$$

which is defined composition at the level of homotopy of asymptotic morphisms. We denote this by $x \times y$ where $x \in E(A, B)$ and $y \in E(B, C)$.

2 Bott periodicity

Here we extend the Bott map of Guentner and Higson [9]. Just as in that article we start by thinking about the Bott periodicity in K-theory and then extend it to E-theory.

Let $\mathrm{Cliff}(V)$ denote the *Clifford algebra* of a finite dimensional Euclidean real vector space V. Then define a function $P \colon V \to \mathrm{Cliff}(V)$ by $P(v) = v$. Notice that $P(v)^2 = ||v||^2$. Now we require a function in $C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))$ so using functional calculus (see Appendix), take $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then we define the map $f(P) \colon V \to \mathrm{Cliff}(V)$ by:

$$v \mapsto f(P(v)).$$

This gives a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{S}$ to $C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))$, and hence the following definition:-

Definition 11. We define the *Bott element* b to be the class in the group $K(C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V)))$ induced from the $*$-homomorphism $\beta \colon \mathcal{S} \to C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))$ defined by $f \mapsto f(P)$.

Theorem 12. *For a finite dimensional Euclidean vector space V over $\mathbb{R}$, the Bott map induces the isomorphism of K-theory*

$$\beta_* \colon K(\mathbb{R}) \to K(\mathbb{R}\widehat{\otimes}C_0(V, \mathit{Cliff}(V))),$$

defined by the formula $\beta_*(x) = x \times b$, where $x \in K(\mathbb{R})$.

To prove this as stated in the introduction, we need a collection of ingredients described in the next few pages.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}(V)$ the real Hilbert space $L^2(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))$ which is the Hilbert space of square-integrable $\mathrm{Cliff}(V)$-valued functions on V. Note that this is graded and the grading is the one coming from that of $\mathrm{Cliff}(V)$.

The following definition may seem irrelevant to our aim but it will be very useful for defining the operators we need to define the maps inducing Bott periodicity.

Definition 13. Let e, f be elements in V. Define linear operators $e, \hat{f}\colon \mathrm{Cliff}(V) \to \mathrm{Cliff}(V)$ on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space under $\mathrm{Cliff}(V)$ by

$$e(x) = ex,$$

$$\hat{f}(x) = (-1)^{\deg(x)} xf.$$

Let $\mathrm{Sch}(V)$ denote the dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}(V)$ of Schwartz-class $\mathrm{Cliff}(V)$-valued functions. For a definition of Schwartz-class see Section 2.1 of [13].

Definition 14. Define the Dirac operator $D\colon \mathrm{Sch}(V) \to \mathcal{H}(V)$ by

$$(Df)(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{e_i}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(v)),$$

where the e_i's form an orthonormal basis of V and each x_i is the corresponding coordinate in V. Here we use functional calculus to define the functions throughout the summation.

For $h \in C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))$ let M_h denote the operator of pointwise multiplication by h on the space $\mathcal{H}(V)$. So

$$M_h(g)(v) = h(v)g(v).$$

Lemma 15. *The Dirac operator on V is formally self-adjoint. If $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $h \in C_0(V, Cliff(V))$, the product $f(D)M_h$ is a compact operator on $\mathcal{H}(V)$.*

For a proof see [9], Lemma 1.8, since this proof is still valid when we take real finite dimensional Euclidean vector spaces.

It will be convenient to write $h \in C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))$ as h_t, with $h_t(v) = h(t^{-1}v)$ for $t \in [1, \infty)$.

Definition 16. Define the graded commutator of elements a, b in a real graded C^*-algebra A by

$$[a, b] = ab - (-1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)} ba,$$

which extends by linearity to all elements in A.

Let $\mathbb{R}_{n,0}$ be the Clifford algebra generated by odd elements $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ such that $e_i^2 = 1$ and $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$. Then by substituting $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ the following result makes sense:

Lemma 17. *For every $f \in \mathcal{S}, h \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}_{n,0})$, with the finite dimensional Euclidean vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$ having Dirac operator D,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} ||[f(t^{-1}D), M_{h_t}]|| = 0,$$

where M_{h_t} is a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f(t^{-1}D)$ is defined using functional calculus of unbounded operators (see Appendix).

Proof. Let $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$. Let $f_k(x) = (x+i)^{-k}$ and $\overline{f_k}(x) = (x-i)^{-k}$ and define

$$A = \{f_k, \overline{f_k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Then A is a unital algebra closed under complex conjugation which separates points, since f_1 separates points. Hence by the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, A is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$. So if

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |||[f_k(t^{-1}D), M_{h_t}]||| = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} |||[\overline{f_k}(t^{-1}D), M_{h_t}]||| = 0,$$

for all $f \in A$, then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |||[f(t^{-1}D), M_{h_t}]||| = 0,$$

for all $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$, and so for all $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. It is enough to check this for f_1, and $\overline{f_1}$ since they generate A. Let $f = \overline{f_1}$. Then for $g \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[f(t^{-1}D), M_{h_t}](g)\\
&= [(t^{-1}D - iI)^{-1}, M_{h_t}](g)\\
&= [-i(I - (it)^{-1}D)^{-1}, M_{h_t}](g)\\
&= [-i(I + (it)^{-1}D + (it)^{-2}D^2 + \ldots), M_{h_t}](g) \text{ by power series}\\
&= -i(I + (it)^{-1}D + (it)^{-2}D^2 + \ldots)M_{h_t}(g) \mp iM_{h_t}(I + (it)^{-1}D + (it)^{-2}D^2 + \ldots)(g)\\
&= -i((it)^{-1}D + (it)^{-2}D^2 + \ldots)M_{h_t}(g) \mp M_{h_t}((it)^{-1}D + (it)^{-2}D^2 + \ldots)(g)\\
&= -(t^{-1}D + i^{-1}t^{-2}D^2 + \ldots)M_{h_t}(g) \mp M_{h_t}((t^{-1}D + i^{-1}t^{-2}D^2 + \ldots)(g)\\
&= -t^{-1}((D + (it)^{-1}D^2 + \ldots)M_{h_t}(g) \pm M_{h_t}(D + (it)^{-1}D^2 + \ldots)(g))\\
&= -t^{-1}(D(I + (it)^{-1}D + \ldots)M_{h_t}(g) \pm M_{h_t}D(I + (it)^{-1}D + \ldots)(g))\\
&= -t^{-1}(D(I - (it)^{-1}D)^{-1}M_{h_t}(g) \pm M_{h_t}D(I - (it)^{-1}D)^{-1}(g))\\
&= -t^{-1}[D(I - (it)^{-1}D)^{-1}, M_{h_t}](g).
\end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to check that

$$|||[D(I - (it)^{-1}D)^{-1}, M_{h_t}(g)]|||,$$

has a uniform bound.

As $||(I - (it)^{-1}D)^{-1}|| \leq 1$, it is enough to check that $|||[D, M_{h_t}]|||$ has a uniform bound, since

$$|||[D(I - (it)^{-1}D)^{-1}, M_{h_t}(g)]||| \leq |||[D, M_{h_t}]|||.$$

Now

$$[D, M_{h_t}](g)(v) = D(h_t g)(v) \pm h_t D(g)(v),$$

and we have that

$$D(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_i}\hat{e_i},$$

so that

$$D(h_t g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial x_i}\hat{e_i}(g) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_t \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}\hat{e_i} \quad \text{and} \quad h_t D(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_t \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}\hat{e_i}.$$

Then combining the above gives

$$D(h_t g) \pm h_t D(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial x_i} \hat{e_i}(g)$$

Additionally, we know that

$$h_t(v) = h(t^{-1}v) = h(t^{-1}x_1, \ldots, t^{-1}x_n),$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial x_i} = t^{-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i},$$

and hence

$$[D, M_{h_t}](g)(v) = t^{-1}\big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial x_i} \hat{e_i}(g)\big)(v),$$

which is constant in t. So

$$|||[D, M_{h_t}]||| = ct^{-1},$$

where c does not depend on t, and hence

$$|||[f(t^{-1}D), M_{h_t}]||| = ct^{-2},$$

so as $t \to \infty$, the limit tends to 0 as required.

Now for $f = f_1$, we obtain a similar result, and in fact we get

$$[f(t^{-1}D), M_{h_t}](g) = -t^{-1}[D(I - (-it)^{-1}D)^{-1}, M_{h_t}](g),$$

and so the same result holds. □

Now we can state the map α we require to prove Bott periodicity.

Proposition 18. *There exists a unique asymptotic morphism (up to equivalence)*

$$\alpha_t \colon \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes} C_0(V, \mathit{Cliff}(V)) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}(V))$$

defined by

$$\alpha_t(f \widehat{\otimes} h) = f(t^{-1}D) M_{h_t},$$

for $t \geq 1$.

Proof. Firstly to prove this we consider α_t defined from the algebraic tensor product of $\mathcal{S}\widehat{\odot} C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))$ to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}(V))$ by,

$$\alpha_t(f \widehat{\odot} h) = f(t^{-1}D) M_{h_t}.$$

Then we have a linear map, which is asymptotically $*$-linear by Lemma 17 and universality of the tensor product gives an asymptotic morphism

$$\alpha_t(f \widehat{\otimes} h) = f(t^{-1}D) M_{h_t}.$$

Also by Lemma 15, the image is contained in the compact operators. Hence we have our required asymptotic morphism. □

Definition 19. On a finite dimensional Euclidean real vector space we define the *Clifford operator* $C\colon \mathrm{Sch}(V) \to \mathcal{H}(V)$ by

$$(Cf)(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i(f(v)),$$

where e_i form an orthornormal basis for V, x_i are the corresponding coordinates in V. Here we use functional calculus to define the functions throughout the summation.

Lemma 20. *The composition of the Bott map and the multiplication operator given by*

$$\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\beta} C_0(V, \mathit{Cliff}(V)) \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(V)),$$

is defined by

$$f \mapsto f(C),$$

where C is the Clifford operator and $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Since the operator C is essentially self adjoint on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(V)$ we can form the operator $f(C)$ in the bounded linear operators. Then

$$(M\beta(f))(g)(v) = M_{\beta(f)}(g)(v) = \beta(f)(v)g(v) = f(C)(v)g(v) = f(C)g(v).$$

□

Now we need to prove that α and β satisfy the requirements that their induced maps give $\alpha_*\beta_*$ is homotopic to $1 \in K(\mathbb{R})$. In order to show this we firstly need an equivalent morphism coming from composing α and β for which we need a new operator.

Definition 21. We define an operator $B\colon \mathrm{Sch}(V) \to \mathrm{Sch}(V)$ by

$$(Bf)(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i(f(v)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{e_i}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(v)).$$

Call B the *Bott operator* and notice $B = C + D$.

The following two results have identical proof to the results stated in [9] so for proofs see Proposition 1.16 and Corollary 1.1 of that paper.

Proposition 22. *For a finite dimension real vector space V of dimension n, consider the operator $B = C + D$. Then there exists an orthornormal basis in $Sch(V)$ for $\mathcal{H}(V)$ consisting of the eigenvectors for B^2 such that*

1. *the eigenvalues are nonnegative integers and each eigenvalue occurs with finite multiplicity,*
2. *the eigenvalue 0 occurs only once and its corresponding eigenfunction is $e^{-\frac{1}{2}||v||^2}$.*

Corollary 23. *For a finite dimensional real vector space V. Then the Bott operator B*

1. *is essentially self-adjoint,*

2. *has compact resolvent,*

3. *has one-dimensional kernel generated by the function* $e^{-\frac{1}{2}||v||^2}$.

Definition 24. Define the *number operator* $N\colon \mathrm{Cliff}(V) \to \mathrm{Cliff}(V)$ by

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{e_i} e_i,$$

where e_i's form an orthonormal basis for V.

We should note that the number operator is bounded and we can extend it to an operator on $\mathcal{H}(V)$ by composition with a map from V to $\mathrm{Cliff}(V)$.

Proposition 25.

$$B^2 = C^2 + D^2 + N.$$

Proof. By orthogonality of the basis of V,

$$\begin{aligned}
B^2(f)(v) &= B(Bf)(v) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i e_i (Bf(v)) + \hat{e}_i (\frac{\partial Bf}{\partial x_i}(v)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\left(x_i e_i \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(x_i e_i (f(v) + \hat{e}_i (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(v)) \right) \right) + \left(\hat{e}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(x_i e_i (f(v) + \hat{e}_i (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(v)) \right) \right) \right. \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(x_i e_i x_j e_j f(v) + x_i e_i \widehat{e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(v) + \widehat{e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (x_j e_j f)(v) + \widehat{e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \widehat{e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i^2 f(v) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial {x_i}^2} f(v) \right) + A(f)(v), \\
&= C^2(f)(v) + D^2(f)(v) + A(f)(v)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(f)(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(x_i e_i \widehat{e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(v) + \widehat{e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (x_j e_j f)(v) \right).$$

Then for $i = j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A(f)(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i e_i \widehat{e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(v) + \widehat{e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (x_i e_i f)(v) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i e_i \widehat{e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(v) + \widehat{e_i} e_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (x_i f)(v) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i e_i \widehat{e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(v) + \widehat{e_i} e_i f(v) + \widehat{e_i} e_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(v) \right) \text{ by product rule} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i e_i \widehat{e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(v) + \widehat{e_i} e_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(v) \right) + N f(v)
\end{aligned}$$

and for $i \neq j$,

$$A(f)(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(x_i e_i \widehat{e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(v) + \widehat{e_i} e_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(v) \right).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
A(f)(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(x_i e_i \widehat{e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(v) + \widehat{e_i} e_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(v) \right) + N f(v) \\
&= N f(v),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\widehat{e_j} e_i = -\widehat{e_j} e_i$. So as required

$$B^2 = C^2 + D^2 + N.$$

□

Proposition 26. *Let T be an unbounded self-adjoint operator. Then e^{-T^2} is bounded.*

Proof. First, we can write

$$(e^{-T^2})(v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} T^{2n}(v),$$

so the exponential function is a polynomial. By Spectral theory, since T is self-adjoint, $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and so by the spectral mapping theorem applied twice we have $\sigma(T^2) \subseteq [0, \infty)$, and $\sigma(e^{-T^2}) \subseteq [0, 1]$. So $\sigma(e^{-T^2})$ is bounded and $||e^{-T^2}|| \leq 1$. □

The following is proved similarly.

Proposition 27. *For an unbounded self-adjoint operator T, Te^{-T^2} is bounded.*

□

Lemma 28. *Let $f, g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |||[f(t^{-1}C), g(t^{-1}D)]||| = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6 it suffices to check that the statement is true for the combinations of f and g being $u(x) = e^{-x^2}$ and $v(x) = xe^{-x^2}$.

First let $f = u$ and $g = u$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t\to\infty} |||[u(t^{-1}C), u(t^{-1}D)]||| &= \lim_{t\to\infty} |||[e^{t^{-2}C^2}, e^{-t^{-2}D^2}]||| \\
&= \lim_{t\to\infty} ||e^{t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2} - e^{-t^{-2}D^2}e^{t^{-2}C^2}|| \\
&= \lim_{t\to\infty} ||e^{t^{-2}(C^2+D^2)} - e^{-t^{-2}(D^2+C^2)}]|| \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 26.

Now for $f = u$ and $g = v$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t\to\infty} |||[u(t^{-1}C), v(t^{-1}D)]||| &= \lim_{t\to\infty} |||[e^{t^{-2}C^2}, t^{-1}De^{-t^{-2}D^2}]||| \\
&= \lim_{t\to\infty} ||e^{t^{-2}C^2}t^{-1}De^{-t^{-2}D^2} - t^{-1}De^{-t^{-2}D^2}e^{t^{-2}C^2}|| \\
&= \lim_{t\to\infty} ||t^{-1}De^{t^{-2}(C^2+D^2)} - t^{-1}De^{-t^{-2}(D^2+C^2)}]|| \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 26.

For $f = v$ and $g = u$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t\to\infty} |||[v(t^{-1}C), u(t^{-1}D)]||| &= \lim_{t\to\infty} |||[t^{-1}Ce^{t^{-2}C^2}, e^{-t^{-2}D^2}]||| \\
&= \lim_{t\to\infty} ||t^{-1}Ce^{t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2} - e^{-t^{-2}D^2}t^{-1}Ce^{t^{-2}C^2}|| \\
&= \lim_{t\to\infty} ||t^{-1}Ce^{t^{-2}(C^2+D^2)} - t^{-1}Ce^{-t^{-2}(D^2+C^2)}]|| \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 26.

Now for $f = v$ and $g = v$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t\to\infty} |||[v(t^{-1}C), v(t^{-1}D)]||| &= \lim_{t\to\infty} |||[t^{-1}Ce^{t^{-2}C^2}, t^{-1}De^{-t^{-2}D^2}]||| \\
&= \lim_{t\to\infty} ||t^{-1}Ce^{t^{-2}C^2}t^{-1}De^{-t^{-2}D^2} + t^{-1}De^{-t^{-2}D^2}t^{-1}Ce^{t^{-2}C^2}|| \\
&= \lim_{t\to\infty} ||t^{-1}(C+D)e^{t^{-2}(C^2+D^2)} + t^{-1}(C+D)e^{-t^{-2}(D^2+C^2)}]|| \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 26. So

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |||[f(t^{-1}C), g(t^{-1}D)]||| = 0,$$

as required. □

Let $\sim_{asy}$ denote an asymptotic equivalence, meaning that two operators are asymptotic equivalent if in the limit their difference converges to 0.

Proposition 29. *For operators C and D defined before,*

$$e^{-t^{-2}B^2} \sim_{asy} e^{-t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 25, we have

$$e^{-t^{-2}B^2} = e^{-t^{-2}(C^2+D^2+N)} = e^{-t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2}e^{-t^{-2}N},$$

so

$$e^{-t^{-2}B^2} - e^{-t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2} = e^{-t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2}(e^{-t^{-2}N} - 1).$$

Now by Lemma 28, $e^{-t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2} \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$ and since N is bounded $e^{-t^{-2}N} - 1 \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. So it follows that as $t \to \infty$,

$$e^{-t^{-2}B^2} - e^{-t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2} \to 0$$

and the result holds. □

The following is similar.

Proposition 30. *For the operators C and D,*

$$t^{-1}Be^{-t^{-2}B^2} \sim_{asy} t^{-1}(C + D)e^{-t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2}.$$

□

Theorem 31. *The composition of α_t and β given by :*

$$\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{id\widehat{\otimes}\beta} \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}C_0(V, \mathit{Cliff}(V)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_t} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}(V)),$$

is asymptotically equivalent to the asymptotic morphism $\gamma_t \colon \mathcal{S} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{K}(H)$ defined by

$$\gamma_t(f) = f(t^{-1}B),$$

for all $t \geq 1$.

Proof. Here we imitate the proof of Guentner and Higson in [9] with additional details. Since S is generated by u and v by Lemma 6 it suffices to check that

$$\alpha(\mathrm{id}\widehat{\otimes}\beta)(\Delta(f)) \sim_{asy} \gamma_t(f),$$

for $f = u$ and $f = v$.

For $f = u$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\mathrm{id}\widehat{\otimes}\beta)(\Delta(u)) &= \alpha(\mathrm{id}\widehat{\otimes}\beta)(u\widehat{\otimes}u)\\ &= \alpha(u\widehat{\otimes}\beta(u))\\ &= \alpha(u\widehat{\otimes}u(C))\\ &= u(t^{-1}D)M_{u(C)_t}\\ &= u(t^{-1}D)u(t^{-1}C)\\ &= e^{-t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\gamma_t(u) = u(t^{-1}B) = e^{-t^{-2}B^2},$$

and these are both asymptotically equivalent by Proposition 29.

For $f = v$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\mathrm{id}\widehat{\otimes}\beta)(\Delta(u)) &= \alpha(\mathrm{id}\widehat{\otimes}\beta)(u\widehat{\otimes}v + v\widehat{\otimes}u)\\ &= \alpha(u\widehat{\otimes}\beta(v) + v\widehat{\otimes}\beta(u))\\ &= \alpha(u\widehat{\otimes}v(C) + v\widehat{\otimes}u(C))\\ &\sim_{asy} \alpha(u\widehat{\otimes}v(C)) + \alpha(v\widehat{\otimes}u(C))\\ &= u(t^{-1}D)M_{v(C)_t} + v(t^{-1}D)M_{u(C)_t}\\ &= u(t^{-1}D)v(t^{-1}C) + v(t^{-1}D)u(t^{-1}C)\\ &= e^{-t^{-2}D^2}t^{-1}Ce^{-t^{-2}C^2} + t^{-1}De^{-t^{-2}D^2}e^{-t^{-2}C^2}\\ &= t^{-1}(C+D)e^{-t^{-2}C^2}e^{-t^{-2}D^2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\gamma_t(v) = v(t^{-1}B) = t^{-1}Be^{-t^{-2}B^2}.$$

Then by Proposition 30 these are asymptotically equivalent. Hence the composition of α and β is asymptotically equivalent to γ_t. □

Corollary 32. *The composition $\alpha_*\beta_*$ of the induced homomorphisms*

$$\beta_*\colon K(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})) \to K(C_0(V, \mathit{Cliff}(V)))$$

and

$$\alpha_*\colon K(C_0(V, \mathit{Cliff}(V))) \to K(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})),$$

is the identity homomorphism.

Proof. By Theorem 31, the composition of $\alpha_*\beta_*$ is equivalent to the asymptotic morphism γ_t, given by $\gamma_t(f) = f(t^{-1}B)$. Since f is in $\mathcal{S}$, each γ_t is a $*$-homomorphism and γ is homotopic to the $*$-homomorphism mapping f to $f(B)$. Now it suffices to define a homotopy between γ and the map $\theta\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $\theta(f) = f(0)p$ where p is a rank 1 projection (by Corollary 23) onto the kernel of B. Now as in the proof of Theorem 31,

$$u(t^{-1}B) = e^{-t^{-2}B^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} t^{-2n}B^{2n},$$

and

$$v(t^{-1}B) = t^{-1}Be^{-t^{-2}B^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} t^{-(2n+1)} B^{2n+1}.$$

Let w be an eigenvector of B with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $B^k w = \lambda^k w$ for all k and so

$$u(t^{-1}B)w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{t}\right)^{2n} w = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{t}} w,$$

and

$$v(t^{-1}B)w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{t}\right)^{2n+1} w = \frac{\lambda}{t} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{t}} w.$$

If $\lambda = 0$ then $v(t^{-1}B)w = 0$ for all t and $u(t^{-1}B)w =$w.

By Proposition 22, $\mathcal{H}(V)$ has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of B, and 0 is an eigenvalue of B with one-dimensional eigenspace. Combining these, we have

$$||f(t^{-1}B) - f(0)p|| \to 0,$$

as $t \to \infty$ when $f = u$ or $f = v$. Since u and v generate $\mathcal{S}$, it follows that the above holds for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$, and so we have a homotopy between γ and θ defined by

$$f \mapsto \begin{cases} f(s^{-1}B) & \text{if } s \in (0,1] \\ f(0)p & \text{if } s = 0, \end{cases}$$

where p is the projection onto the kernel of B. □

The converse of this now follows below.

Definition 33. Define the *flip map*

$$l \colon A \widehat{\otimes} B \to B \widehat{\otimes} A,$$

by

$$l(a \widehat{\otimes} b) = (-1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)} b \widehat{\otimes} a,$$

for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Notice that l is a $*$-isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{C}(V) = C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))$ for simplicity throughout the following statements.

Lemma 34. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V) & \xrightarrow{\Delta\widehat{\otimes}id_{\mathcal{C}(V)}} & \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V) & \overset{id_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\alpha_t}{\cdots\cdots\to} & \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\beta\widehat{\otimes}id_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})}} & \mathcal{C}(V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta\widehat{\otimes}id_{\mathcal{C}(V)}} & & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l} \\
\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V) & \xrightarrow[id_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\beta\widehat{\otimes}id_{\mathcal{C}(V)}]{} & \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V) & \xrightarrow[id_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}l]{} & \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V) & \overset{\alpha_t\widehat{\otimes}id_{\mathcal{C}(V)}}{\cdots\cdots\to} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V),
\end{array}$$

asymptotically commutes.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is generated by the elements $u(x) = e^{-x^2}$ and $v(x) = xe^{-x^2}$ it suffices to check that the diagram asymptotically commutes for u and v in $\mathcal{S}$. Let

$$f_1 = l(\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\alpha_t)(\Delta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})$$

and

$$g_1 = (\alpha_t\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}l)(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(\Delta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})$$

For u and $h \in \mathcal{C}(V)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(u\widehat{\otimes}h) &= l(\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\alpha_t)(u\widehat{\otimes}u\widehat{\otimes}h) \\ &= l(\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})})(u\widehat{\otimes}u(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}) \\ &= l(u(C)\widehat{\otimes}u(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}) \\ &= u(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}\widehat{\otimes}u(C), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(u\widehat{\otimes}h) &= (\alpha_t\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}l)(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(u\widehat{\otimes}u\widehat{\otimes}h) \\ &= (\alpha_t\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}l)(u\widehat{\otimes}u(C)\widehat{\otimes}h) \\ &= (\alpha_t\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(u\widehat{\otimes}h\widehat{\otimes}u(C)) \\ &= u(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}\widehat{\otimes}u(C). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have an asymptotic equivalence in the case when we take u. Now consider v. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(v\widehat{\otimes}h) &= l(\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\alpha_t)((u\widehat{\otimes}v + v\widehat{\otimes}u)\widehat{\otimes}h) \\ &\sim_{\mathrm{asy}} l(\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})})(u\widehat{\otimes}v(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t} + v\widehat{\otimes}u(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}) \\ &= l(u(C)\widehat{\otimes}v(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t} + v(C)\widehat{\otimes}u(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}) \\ &= v(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}\widehat{\otimes}u(C) + u(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}\widehat{\otimes}v(C), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(v\widehat{\otimes}h) &= (\alpha_t\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}l)(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})((u\widehat{\otimes}v + v\widehat{\otimes}u)\widehat{\otimes}h) \\ &= (\alpha_t\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}l)(u\widehat{\otimes}v(C)\widehat{\otimes}h + v\widehat{\otimes}u(C)\widehat{\otimes}h) \\ &= (\alpha_t\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(u\widehat{\otimes}h\widehat{\otimes}v(C) + v\widehat{\otimes}h\widehat{\otimes}u(C)) \\ &\sim_{\mathrm{asy}} u(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}\widehat{\otimes}v(C) + v(t^{-1}D)M_{h_t}\widehat{\otimes}u(C) \end{aligned}$$

and again we have the diagram commuting asymptotically. □

Lemma 35. *The flip map on $\mathcal{C}(V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V)$ is homotopic via graded $*$-homomorphisms to the map $h_1\widehat{\otimes}h_2 \mapsto h_1\widehat{\otimes}\iota h_2$, where ι is the automorphism on $\mathcal{C}(V)$ induced by the map $e \mapsto -e$ in V.*

A proof can be found in [12], Lemma 18.

Corollary 36. *There is an isomorphism:*

$$K(\mathbb{R}) \to K(\mathcal{C}(V))$$

induced by $\beta\colon S \to \mathcal{C}(V)$.

Proof. It suffices to check that the f_1 in the proof of Lemma 34 induces an isomorphism in K-theory since by Corollary 32 we have that α_* is left inverse to β. It follows from the stability of K-theory that:

$$K(\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} K(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})) \cong K(\mathbb{R}).$$

So we need to show:

$$\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V) \xrightarrow{\Delta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)}} \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\alpha_t} \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})}} \mathcal{C}(V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\quad l \quad} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{C}(V)$$

induces an isomorphism on K-theory. By Lemma 34, we have an asymptotic equivalence which gives a homotopy equivalence. Further the composition in the diagram above is asymptotically equivalent to

$$g_1 = (\alpha_t\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}l)(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})(\Delta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V)})$$

which is homotopic by Lemma 35 to the composition

$$(\alpha_t(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\widehat{\otimes}\beta)\Delta)\widehat{\otimes}\iota$$

and hence by Theorem 31 is homotopic to $\gamma\widehat{\otimes}\iota$, and so maps

$$f\widehat{\otimes}h \mapsto f(t^{-1}B)\widehat{\otimes}\iota(h).$$

and so induces an isomorphism in K-theory by the homotopy defined similarly to that in the proof of Corollary 32

$$f\widehat{\otimes}h \mapsto \begin{cases} f(s^{-1}B)\widehat{\otimes}\iota(h) & \text{if } s \in (0,1] \\ f(0)p\widehat{\otimes}\iota(h) & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases}$$

□

Then the proof of Theorem 12 is complete, since we have shown that the map

$$K(\mathbb{R}) \to K(\mathbb{R}\widehat{\otimes}C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V))),$$

is an isomorphism.

Observe that when $V = \mathbb{R}$ then

$$C_0(V, \mathrm{Cliff}(V)) = C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{Cliff}(\mathbb{R})) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{Cliff}(\mathbb{R})) \cong \Sigma\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{R}_{1,0}.$$

Then we obtain

Corollary 37.

$$K(\mathbb{R}) \cong K(\Sigma\mathbb{R}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{R}_{1,0}).$$

□

Corollary 38. *For any real graded C^*-algebra,*

$$K(A) \cong K(\Sigma A\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{R}_{1,0}).$$

The proof of this follows from the K-theory product described in section 1.7 of [9]. Then combining this with Theorem 1.14 in [9], we have

Theorem 39. *For any graded C^*-algebra over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$,*

$$K_{\mathbb{F}}(A) \cong K_{\mathbb{F}}(\Sigma A\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0}),$$

where $K_{\mathbb{F}}$ denotes real or complex K-theory.

We finally obtain the isomorphism in E-theory.

Theorem 40. *For any graded C^*-algebra over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$,*

$$E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, B) \cong E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, \Sigma B\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0}),$$

and

$$E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, B) \cong E_{\mathbb{F}}(\Sigma A\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0}, B),$$

where $E_{\mathbb{F}}$ denotes real or complex E-theory.

Proof. The Bott map $\beta : \mathcal{S} \to \Sigma\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0}$ gives an invertible class $[\beta] \in E_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}, \Sigma\mathbb{F}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0})$. Also we have an invertible class

$$[\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A] \in E_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}\widehat{\otimes}A, \Sigma\mathbb{F}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0}\widehat{\otimes}A) = E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, \Sigma A\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0}).$$

Denote this class by $[\beta_A]$ and similarly set $[\beta_B]$ for the class $[\beta\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_B]$. Then these classes are invertible.

By the E-theory product we have a group homomorphism

$$f\colon E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, B) \to E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, \Sigma B\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0})$$

defined by

$$f(x) = x \times [\beta_B]$$

for all $x \in E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, B)$ which is invertible with inverse defined by

$$f^{-1}(y) = y \times [\beta_B]^{-1}$$

for all $y \in E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, \Sigma B\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0})$.

Similarly we define

$$g\colon E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, B) \to E_{\mathbb{F}}(\Sigma A\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_{1,0}, B)$$

by

$$g(x) = [\beta_A]^{-1} \times x$$

for all $x \in E_{\mathbb{F}}(A, B)$, and this has inverse defined by

$$g^{-1}(y) = [\beta_A] \times y$$

, for all $y \in E_{\mathbb{F}}(\Sigma A \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{F}_{1,0}, B)$. Then the result follows.

□

Now recalling some certain facts of Clifford algebras we will see we can obtain the 8-fold periodicity. We have that $\mathbb{R}_{p,q} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{r,s} \cong \mathbb{R}_{p+r,q+s}$ and also $\mathbb{R}_{8,0} \cong \mathbb{R}_{4,4}$, and also the result from the PhD thesis of the author

$$E^n(A, B) \cong E^n(A \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,1}, B \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,1}),$$

then we obtain using the Bott periodicty result the 8-fold periodicity of E-theory,

Corollary 41. *Let A and B be real graded C^*-algebra. Then we have natural isomorphisms*

$$E^n_g(A, B) \cong E^{n+8}_g(A, B).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} E^n_g(A, B) &= E^n_g(A, \Sigma^8(B \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,0} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,0} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,0} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,0} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,0} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,0} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,0} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{1,0})), \\ &= E^n_g(A, \Sigma^8(B \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{R}_{4,4})), \\ &= E^n_g(A, \Sigma^8 B), \\ &\cong E^{n+8}_g(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

□

Appendix A: Functional calculus

Much of this appendix is taken from Section 1.5 of [6] and Section 2.2 of [16].

Functional Calculus is a very useful method for defining functions in such a way that the obvious definition still makes sense but we can obtain functions with different domains and or ranges using it. It is necessary for us to define certain functions we use throughout the Bott periodicity proof.

In this appendix we give an overview of functional calculus for complex, bounded, unbounded, real and graded operators.

A.1 Complex bounded

Let A be a unital complex C^*-algebra and $x \in A$ be normal. Then we can think of the spectrum of the element x, $\sigma(x)$. Now for $f \in C(\sigma(x))$ we can form $f(x)$ which has the properties suggested by the notation. Namely that we have a $*$-homomorphism

$$C(\sigma(x)) \to A,$$

written $f \mapsto f(x)$ such that

$$\sigma(f(x)) = f(\sigma(x)),$$

and

$$f(g(x)) = (f \circ g)(x),$$

if $g \in C(\sigma(x))$ and $f \in C(\sigma(g(x)))$.

A.2 Unbounded

Let H be a complex Hilbert space and $V \subseteq H$. Then consider the possibly unbounded operator $T \colon V \to H$ and recall its spectrum

$$\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid T - \lambda I \text{ is invertible and has bounded inverse}\}.$$

Then for $f \in C(\sigma(T))$ we can define a map

$$C(\sigma(T)) \to Unbounded operators on H,$$

by $f \mapsto f(T)$ such that the map is linear, multiplicative, $*$-preserving, satisfies

$$f(\sigma(T)) = \sigma(f(T)),$$

and has the composition property.

Additionally in this case, we also require that if $f \in C(\sigma(T))$ is bounded, then $f(T)$ is bounded and

$$||f(T)|| = \sup\{||\lambda|| \mid \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

A.3 Real

For the real case we require a new set of functions defined by

$$C_{\mathbb{R}}(X) := \{f \in C(X) \mid f(\overline{x}) = \overline{f(x)}\},$$

where $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Call $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ a Real[1] function. Then we have a similar construction of the complex bounded case wehere f has to be a Real function.

A.4 Graded

For the graded unital C^*-algebra case. Let A be graded and $x \in A$. Then we have a $*$-homomorphism

$$C(\sigma(x)) \to A,$$

written $f \mapsto f(x)$ just as in the case of the complex bounded case but it must further preserve the grading. That is, if $x \in A_{\text{even}}$ then $f(x)$ must be even and if $x \in A_{\text{odd}}$ then $f(x)$ must be odd.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, *K-theory*, 2nd edition, Advanced Book Program, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1967, 1989.

[2] M. F. Atiyah, *Bott periodicity and the index of elliptic operators*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Second series, **19**, 1968, 113-140.

[3] A. Connes, *Non-commutative geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., 1994.

[4] A. Connes and N. Higson, *Déformations, morphismes asymptotiques et K-théorie bivariante*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 311, 1990, 101-106.

[5] M. Dadarlat and R. Meyer *E-theory for* C*-*algebras over topological spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 263, 2012, 216-247.

[6] J. Dixmier, *Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations*, Les Grands Classiques Gauthier-Villars, Reprint of the second (1969) edition, Éditions Jacques Gabay, Paris, 1996.

[7] G. A. Elliott, *On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras*, J. Algebra, 38 (1976), 29-44.

[8] K. R. Goodearl, *Notes on real and complex C-algebras*, Shiva Mathematics Series, 5. Shiva Publishing Ltd., Nantwich, 1982.

[9] E. Guentner, N. Higson, *Group C*-algebras and K-theory*, Noncommutative geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., 1831, 137–251, Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[1] The capitalisation of R here is intentional.

[10] E. Guentner, N. Higson and J. Trout, *Equivariant E-Theory for C^*-algebras*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 2000.

[11] N. Higson, *Categories of fractions and excision in KK-theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 65, 1990, 119-138.

[12] N. Higson, G. Kasparov and J. Trout, *A Bott Periodicity Theorem for Infinite Dimensional Euclidean Space*, Adv. Math. 135 (1998), no. 1, 140.

[13] R. Howe and E. Tan, *Nonabelian harmonic analysis: Applications of* $\mathrm{S}L(2, \mathbf{R})$, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

[14] K. Li, *The Baum-Connes conjecture for coefficients*, Graduate Course Project, 2011.

[15] T. W. Palmer, *Book Review: Notes on real and complex C-algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 10 (1984), no. 2, 325330.

[16] P. D. Mitchener, *K-theory and C^*-categories*, PhD Thesis, Oxford University, 2000.

[17] G.F. Simmons, *Introduction to topology and modern analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York-San Francisco, Calif.-Toronto-London 1963